

ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF LINEAR AND NON-LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF EXTREME ORDER STATISTICS

ABDELHAKIM NECIR & BRAHIM BRAHIMI

Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, University of Biskra , P.O. Box 145 R.P.,
07000 Biskra, Algeria

ABSTRACT

The limit distributions of linear and non-linear combinations of the $k_n = o(n)$ order statistics of i.i.d. random variables whose maximum belongs to the domain of attraction of the Gumbel law are obtained. Our results may be applied in actuarial studies, estimation of scale-location parameters, estimation of squared deviation in tail of a distribution, robustness theory and detection of the outliers in statistical data. It is also closely related to the moment estimator of *Dekkers-Einmahl-de Hann* (1989) for the index of an extreme distribution. This study completes that of *Necir* (1990, 1991a, 1991b, 2000a, 2000b).

Key Words: Asymptotic normality, sums of extreme values, L-statistics, extreme order statistics, extreme squared deviation, quantile process, tails distributions.

AMS Subject Classification: 60F15, 60F05, 62G30.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with distribution function F . For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $X_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n,n}$ denote the order statistics based on X_1, \dots, X_n .

Assume that F belongs to the domain of attraction of the Gumbel distribution $\Lambda(x) = \exp(-e^{-x})$, written $F \in D(\Lambda)$. This means that there exist constants $a_n > 0$ and b_n such that for all real x

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(a_n x + b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(a_n^{-1}(X_{n,n} - b_n \leq x) = \Lambda(x) \quad (1.1)$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for $F \in D(\Lambda)$ are well known; see *Gnedenko* (1943), *de Hann* (1970), and *Galambos* (1987) Chapter 2. In particular, if (1.1) holds, then we may choose a_n and b_n by

$$a_n = U(1/en) - U(1/n) \text{ and } b_n = U(1/n), \quad (1.2)$$

where $U(1-s) = Q(s) = \inf \{x : F(x) \geq s\}$, $0 < s < 1$, is the quantile function pertaining to F , and e is the constant such that $\log e = 1$.

Let $\omega = \sup\{x : F(x) < 1\}$ denote the right endpoint of F .

Along this paper, we suppose that F satisfies von Mises's conditions (see e.g. *von Mises* (1936)) as follows :

(F) there exists an $x_0 < \omega$ such that F is twice continuously differentiable on (x_0, ω) with derivatives f and f' , and

$$\lim_{x \uparrow \omega} \frac{f'(x)(1-F(x))}{f^2(x)} = -1. \quad (1.3)$$

Among distributions, which satisfy the Von Mises, conditions are the usual distributions as the *Exponential*, *Double-Exponential*, *Gamma*, *Logistic*, *Normal*, *Log-*

Normal, *Gumbel*, *Weibull*, *Poisson* distributions.

Deheuvels, *Haeusler* and *Mason* (1990) has shown in proposition 1 that the conditions (F) are equivalents to the following

(U) there exist constants $0 < s_0 < 1$, $c > 0$ and a and a continuous function $b(\cdot)$ with $b(v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \downarrow 0$ such that

$$U(s) = a + \int_s^1 R(u)/u \, du, \quad 0 < s < s_0, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$R(u) = c \exp\left(\int_1^u b(v)/v \, dv\right). \quad (1.5)$$

Statements (F) and (U) are also equivalents if in (F) f' is the Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to Lebesgue measure and in (U) $b(\cdot)$ is a measurable function such the function $R(\cdot)$ is well defined.)

It's clear, from (U) and representation (1.4) that the function U is differentiable on $0 < s < s_0$ and we have

$$-sU'(s) = R(s), \quad 0 < s < s_0. \quad (1.6)$$

REMARK 1.2. Using (1.5), it is easy to check that the function $R(\cdot)$ satisfies the following properties:

- i) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} R(\rho s)/R(s) = 1$,
- ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x_n}{y_n}\right)^d \frac{R(x_n)}{R(y_n)} = 0$,
- iii) $R(1/n) \approx R(1/(n+1))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

for any $0 < \rho < \infty$, $0 < d < \infty$ and for any non-negative

sequences (x_n) and (y_n) such that: $x_n \downarrow 0$, $y_n \downarrow 0$, and $x_n/y_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other word the function $R(\cdot)$ is slowly varying in the neighbourhood of zero.

REMARK 1.3. Under assumptions (U), (1.6)-(1.7) (iii) and the finite increments theorem imply that we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(\hat{a}_n x + b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\tilde{a}_n^{-1}(X_{n,n} - \tilde{b}_n) \leq x) = \Lambda(x) \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_n = (n-1)^{-1} U'(1/(n+1)) \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\tilde{b}_n = U(1/(n+1)).$$

Let J be positive measurable functions defined on $[0,1]$ satisfy assumptions among ($H0$ J is bounded on $[0,1]$).

($H1$) J is uniformly Lipschitz of order $\alpha > 0$ there exists a $0 < M < \infty$ such that for

$$s, t \in [0,1] \quad |J(s) - J(t)| \leq M |s - t|^\alpha$$

($H2$) There exists a $0 < \nu < 1/2$, such that:

$$\int_0^1 s^{-1-2\nu} J(s) ds < \infty.$$

($H3$) There exists a $0 < \tau < 1/2$, such that:

$$\int_0^1 s^{-2\tau} J^2(s) ds < \infty.$$

REMARK 1.4. Along this paper we use only assumptions ($H1$)-($H2$), while ($H3$) has been introduced in strong theorems given by Necir (2000a) (see also theorem A below).

Further, let $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an integer sequence satisfying, for suitable sequences p_n and q_n ,

$$(K) \quad 1 \leq k_n \leq n, k_n \approx p_n \uparrow \infty,$$

$k_n \approx q_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $u_n \approx v_n$ means that $u_n/v_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Introducing a sequence of functions $\{J_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined on $[0,1]$ by

$$\begin{cases} J_n(t) = J(i/k_n) & \text{for } \frac{(i-1)}{k_n} < t \leq \frac{i}{k_n}, \\ & i = 1, \dots, k_n, \\ J_n(0) = J(1/k_n). \end{cases}$$

It's easy to verify, under ($H1$), the sequence of functions $\{J_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly convergent on $[0,1]$ to J , moreover, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |J_n(s) - J(s)| \leq M k_n^{-\alpha} \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$Z = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} J_n(s) < \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

For each integer $n \geq 1$, and for any positive measurable function φ define on $[0,1]$, let

$$\mu_{n,1}(\varphi) = k_n \int_0^1 U(k_n s/n) \varphi(s) ds, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\mu_{n,2}(\varphi) = k_n \int_0^1 U^2(k_n s/n) \varphi(s) ds, \quad (1.13)$$

$$v_{i,n} = n \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} U(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, k_n; \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$\zeta_n(J) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} J(i/k_n) v_{i,n}^2 \quad (1.15)$$

We consider in this paper the statistics:

$$L_n(a) =: \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{i,k_n} X_{n-i+1,n}, \quad (\text{L - Statistics Type}) \quad (1.16)$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_n(a) =: \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} a_{i,k_n} (X_{n-i+1,n} - v_{i,n})^2, \quad (1.17)$$

with

$$a_{i,k_n} = J(i/k_n) \quad j = 1, \dots, k_n. \quad (1.18)$$

We also consider

$$L_n(b) =: \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{i,k_n} X_{n-i+1,n}, \quad (1.19)$$

$$\tilde{D}_n(b) =: \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{i,k_n} (X_{n-i+1,n} - v_{i,n})^2, \quad (1.20)$$

and

$$D_n(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{i,k_n} (X_{n-i+1,n} - L_n(b))^2, \quad (\text{E}_k - \text{Statistics type}) \quad (1.21)$$

with

$$b_{i,k_n} = \int_{(i-1)/k_n}^{i/k_n} J(s) ds; \quad i = 1, \dots, k_n \quad (1.22)$$

The statistics $L_n(a)$ and $L_n(b)$ are very popular in *Nonparametric Estimate*, are well known by the "L-statistics based upon extreme values" (see e.g. *Shorack and Wellner* (1986), p. 660). These one are useful in estimation of scale-location parameters and detections of largest outliers in a sample of observations. They can be found in insurance statistics and extreme values theory. For instance, if X_1, X_2, \dots denote successive claims in an insurance

business, one may seek the behavior of sums of the k_n extreme claims with a penalty function increasing with the claim size (see e.g. *Teugels* (1984) and *Beirlant and Teugels* (1987)). They can be also used to construct a robust estimator of the mean for a series of observations (see e.g. *Dixon and Tukey* (1968)). We can use these statistics to estimate the endpoints of distributions (see e.g. *Hall* (1982), *Csörgő and Mason* (1989) or *Falk* (1995)). We can also use the statistics $L_n(a)$ and $L_n(b)$ to improve the Hill (1975) estimator using the kernel estimate method (see *Deheuvels, Csörgő, Horváth and Mason* (1985)).

As for statistics $\tilde{D}_n(b)$ and $D_n(b)$ represent the squared deviation between the largest order statistics and their expected values. They can be found in the area of estimation of the extreme index, for instance in a Pareto type situation one typically takes \log 's of the data to get back to the domain of attraction of the Gumbel distribution (see *Dekkers, Einmahl and de Hann* (1989) and *Tabbal* (1995)). They also can be used for the detection of outliers observations (see e.g. *Barnett and Lewis* (1994) p. 259, *Fung and Paul* (1985), *Tietjen and Moore* (1972), *Hawkins* (1979), *Dixon and Tukey* (1968)).

In the sequel, we shall see that there exists an algebraic relation between the three statistics $L_n(b)$, $\tilde{D}_n(b)$ and $D_n(b)$. Then, the given of the asymptotic behaviors of the first and the second of these one gives also that of the third.

The smooth function J which defined above, will be suitably chosen according to the problem formulate. In general we chose the function J to obtain the asymptotic optimality of estimators (see, e.g. *Chernoff, Gastwirth and Johns* (1967), *Stigler* (1969, 1974), *Ruymgaart and van Zuijlen* (1977), *Mason* (1981), *Singh* (1981), *Mason and Shorack* (1990), *Shorack and Wellner* (1986); p. 640, *Csörgő, Deheuvels and Mason* (1985), and *Falk* (1995)). We also can chose the function J as the penalty function when X_1, X_2, \dots denote successive claims in an insurance business.

In the near future we shall present an application of our below results to improve *Dekkers, Einmahl and de Hann's* estimator in introducing a kernel function J . This idea was inspired from the results of *Deheuvels, Csörgő, Horváth and Mason* (1985) and that of *Falk* (1985).

Recently *Necir* (2000a) has described the almost sure behavior of statistic $\tilde{D}_n(a)$ using the functional law of the iterated logarithm for the empirical quantile process (see, (3.1)) given by *Einmahl and Mason* (1988). Among these results is the following theorem.

THEOREM A (*Necir* (2000a)). Assume that (F) holds. Then for any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying (K) with $\log \log n = o(k_n^{2\nu})$, for a $0 < \nu < 1/2$, and for any function J satisfying (H1)-(H3), with probability one, there exists a constant $0 \leq l(J) \leq \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) ds$, 0 such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log \log n)^{-1} [R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \times \left\{ \tilde{D}_n(a) + \mu_{n,2}(J_n) - \zeta_n(J) \right\} = l(J)$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log \log n)^{-1} [R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \times \left\{ \tilde{D}_n(a) + \mu_{n,2}(J_n) - \zeta_n(J) \right\} = 0$$

REMARK 1.4. To have the exact value of constant $l(J)$ see the proposition given by *Necir* (2000a).

In this paper, we shall consider the corresponding limit distributions of statistics $\tilde{D}_n(b)$ and $L_n(a)$. We profit for this study to describe moreover that of $D_n(b)$.

The general technique used along this paper was inspired from the famous results of *M.Csörgő S.Csörgő Horváth and Mason* (1986) concerning the asymptotic approximation of the uniform empirical quantile process (see lemma A in Section 3) by a sequence of Brownian bridges and those of *Csörgő, Deheuvels and Mason* (1985), *Lo* (1986), *Necir* (1990, 1991a, 1991b, 2000a, 2000b).

We shall show in the sequel, for suitable normalization' constants, that the limit distributions of $L_n(a)$, $L_n(b)$ and $D_n(b)$ are asymptotically standard normal $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ as the statistics $\tilde{D}_n(a)$ and $\tilde{D}_n(b)$ has a particular limit distributions which will be precise later on.

Denote by $(W(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1)$ a standard Wiener process on $[0,1]$. To know more on such process consult *Csörgő and Révész* (1981).

2. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 1. Assume that (F) holds. Then for any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H1), we have

$$(k_n)^{-1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-1} \left\{ L_n(a) - \mu_{n,1}(J_n) \right\} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds$$

THEOREM 2. Assume that (F) holds. Then for any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H1) and (H2), we have

$$[R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \left\{ \tilde{D}_n(a) - \mu_{n,2}(J_n) - \zeta_n(J) \right\} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-2} J(s) W^2(s) ds.$$

THEOREM 3. Assume that (F) holds. Then for any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H2), with $\int_0^1 J(s) ds = 1$, we have

$$(k_n)^{1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \{D_n(a) - \mu_{n,2}(J) + \mu_{n,1}^2(J)\} \\ \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-1} \psi(s) W(s) ds,$$

where

$$\psi(s) = 2(\log s - I(J))J(s), \quad 0 < s < 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{with } I(J) = -\int_0^1 J(s) \log s ds.$$

All constants $\mu_{n,1}(J_n)$, $\mu_{n,1}(J)$, $\mu_{n,2}(J_n)$, $\mu_{n,2}(J)$ and $\zeta_n(J)$ are defined in (1.12)-(1.15). \xrightarrow{D} denotes convergence in distribution.

REMARK 2.1. The Wiener process introduced in last three theorems is define in the same probability space in which has defined the sequence if X_1, X_2, \dots of i.i.d. random variables (see lemma A in Section 3). The following two corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 give us the exact limit distributions of statistics $L_n(a)$, and $D_n(b)$.

COROLLARY 2.1. Under assumptions of theorem 1, we have

$$(k_n)^{-1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-1} \{L_n(a) - \mu_{n,1}(J_n)\} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2(J)),$$

where

$$\sigma^2(J) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^{-1} t^{-1} \min(s, t) J(s) J(t) ds dt.$$

COROLLARY 2.2. Assume that (F) holds. For any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H2)

$$(k_n)^{1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \{D_n(a) - \mu_{n,2}(J) + \mu_{n,1}^2(J)\} \\ \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2(\psi)),$$

where

$$\sigma^2(\psi) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^{-1} t^{-1} \min(s, t) \psi(s) \psi(t) ds dt.$$

The following corollary shows that we also can obtain, relatively, the same result as theorem 1, whenever we take the weighting constants b_{i,k_n} instead of a_{i,k_n} .

COROLLARY 2.3. Assume that (F) holds. For any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H0).

$$(k_n)^{-1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-1} \{L_n(b) - \mu_{n,1}(J)\} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds.$$

REMARK 2.2. It's clear from corollary 2.3, that the result of corollary 2.1 remains always valid for $L_n(b)$,

In proof of theorem 3, we shall see that the following corollary allow us to deduce the limiting distribution of statistic $D_n(b)$.

COROLLARY 2.4. Assume that (F) holds. For any sequence $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying (K) and for any function J satisfying (H2)

$$(k_n)^{1/2} [R(k_n/n)]^{-2} \{\tilde{D}_n(b) + \mu_{n,2}(J) - \tilde{\zeta}_n(J)\} \\ \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-2} J(s) W^2(s) ds.$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_n(J) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} b_{i,k_n} v_{i,n}^2.$$

where

REMARK 2.3. The statistics $\tilde{D}_n(a)$ and $\tilde{D}_n(b)$ play an auxiliary roles in our study. Consequently we have interested only by there asymptotic bounds.

REMARK 2.4. By a simple integral calculation, it is easy to verify that from (H2), both of constants $\sigma^2(J)$ and $\sigma^2(\psi)$ are finites.

3. PRELIMINARY

Let U_1, U_2, \dots , be a sequence of independent uniform (0,1) random variables. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $V_n(t) = U_{i,n}$, $(i-1)/n < t \leq i/n$, $i=1, \dots, n$, with $V_n(0) = U_{1,n}$, where $U_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq U_{n,n}$ are the order statistics based on U_1, U_2, \dots , be the sample quantile function. We write the uniform quantile process as

$$\beta_n(s) = n^{1/2} \{V_n(s) - s\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1 \quad (3.1)$$

We shall use the notation $\tilde{\beta}_n(s)$ to denote the truncated uniform quantile process, which is equal to $\beta_n(s)$ for $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq n/(n+1)$ and defined to be 0 elsewhere.

The two sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{Q(U_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are equal in distribution, and, consequently the two processes $\{X_{i,n} : 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{Q(U_{i,n}) : 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are equal in distribution as well. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $X_{i,n} = Q(U_{i,n})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $n \geq 1$.

We begin by the following lemma which is the base of our results.

LEMMA A. (M. Csörgő, S. Csörgő, Horváth and Mason (1986)).

On a rich enough probability space carrying a sequence U_1, U_2, \dots , of independent uniform $(0,1)$ random variables and a sequence of Brownian bridges $\{B_n(t) : 1 \leq t \leq n\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that for all $0 < \nu < 1/2$ and for a large n ,

$$\sup_{1/n \leq s \leq 1-1/n} \frac{|\beta_n(s) + B_n(s)|}{(s(1-s))^{1/2-\nu}} = O_p(n^{-\nu}) \quad (3.2)$$

On the sequel, we shall use the notation $\lambda_n =: k_n / n$.

The Taylor formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/2}(U(1-V_n(1-\lambda_n u)) - U(1-(1-\lambda_n u))) &= \\ &= -\beta_n(1-\lambda_n u)U'(\theta_n(\lambda_n u)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\theta_n(z)$ is a function of $z \in [0,1]$ and $n \geq 1$, with values in the interval with endpoints z and $1-V_n(1-z)$, defined via

$$U(1-V_n(1-z)) - U(z) = -\{V_n(1-z) - 1-z\}U'(\theta_n(z)), \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, we may write

$\theta_n(\lambda_n s) = \gamma \lambda_n s + (1-\gamma)(1-V_n(1-\lambda_n s))$ for some appropriate $\gamma = \gamma_n(\lambda_n s) \in [0,1]$, depending upon $n \geq 1$ and $s \in [0,1]$. Observe now that for each integer $n > I$, we have $V(1-i/n) = U_{n-i+1,n}$, and $U(1-V(1-i/n)) = Q(V(1-i/n)) = X_{n-i+1,n}$ for $i=1,2,\dots,n$.

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

4.1 Proof of theorem 1

Recalling that $\lambda_n =: k_n / n$. Using both of representations (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(a) - \mu_{n,1}(J_n) &= n\lambda_n \int_0^1 U(1-V_n(1-\lambda_n s))J_n(s)ds \\ &\quad - n\lambda_n \int_0^1 U(\lambda_n s)J_n(s)ds = \sum_{i=1}^5 L_{n,i}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,1} &= n^{1/2}\lambda_n \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \beta_n(1-\lambda_n s) \left(\frac{1-U'(\theta_n(\lambda_n s))}{U'(\lambda_n s)} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times U'(\lambda_n s)J_n(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{n,2} = n^{1/2}\lambda_n \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n(1-\lambda_n s) \times U'(\lambda_n s)(J_n(s) - J(s))ds,$$

$$L_{n,3} = -n^{1/2}\lambda_n \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (B_n(1-\lambda_n s) + \beta_n(1-\lambda_n s)) \times U'(\lambda_n s)J_n(s)ds,$$

$$L_{n,4} = n^{1/2}\lambda_n \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n(1-\lambda_n s) \times U'(\lambda_n s)J(s)ds,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,5} &= n\lambda_n R \int_0^{1/\lambda_n(n+1)} U(1-V_n(1-\lambda_n s))J_n(s)ds \\ &\quad - n\lambda_n \int_0^{1/\lambda_n(n+1)} U(\lambda_n s)J_n(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

For the definition of o_p and O_p , which is used below, we refer to Serfling (1980) Section 1.2.5. Further, denote by (\xrightarrow{p}) convergence in probability.

We begin the proof of theorem 1 by the following.

LEMMA 4.1.1. Let (K) , (F) and $(H1)$ be satisfied. Then $(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2}[R\lambda_n]^{-1}L_{n,2} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Set $\Theta_n(s) = [E|B_n(1-\lambda_n s)|]$. By (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2}[R(\lambda_n)]^{-1}E|L_{n,2}| &\leq Mn^{1/2}\lambda_n(n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha-1/2}[R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \\ &\times \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \Theta_n(s)|U'(\lambda_n s)|ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.1)$$

From (1.6), the right-hand-side of (4.1.1) is equal to

$$M(n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}(\lambda_n) \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \Theta_n(s)s^{-1}[R(\lambda_n)]^{-1}R\lambda_n s ds \quad (4.1.2)$$

Using (1.7) (i), expression (4.1.2) become as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$M(1+o(1))(n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}(\lambda_n)^{-1/2} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \Theta_n(s)s^{-1}ds, \quad (4.1.3)$$

Since, for any $n \geq 1$ and $s \in [0,1]$,

$$E|B_n(1-\lambda_n s)| \leq (\lambda_n s)^{1/2}(1-\lambda_n s)^{1/2} \leq \lambda_n^{1/2}s^{1/2},$$

then, for a large n , expression (4.1.3) is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} M(1+o(1))(n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}(\lambda_n)^{-1/2} &\int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\lambda_n^{1/2}s^{1/2})s^{-1}ds \\ &\leq M(1+o(1))(n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}(1/2)^{-1} = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

(because $\alpha > 0$). This achieves the proof of lemma 4.1.1. \square

LEMMA 4.1.2. Let (K) , (F) and $(H1)$ be satisfied. Then $(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2}[R(\lambda_n)]^{-1}L_{n,3} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $0 < \nu < 1/2$ and setting:

$$\eta_{n,\nu} = \sup_{1/\lambda_n(n+1) \leq s \leq 1} \left[\frac{|\beta_n(1-\lambda_n s) + B_n(1-\lambda_n s)|}{((1-\lambda_n s)(\lambda_n s))^{1/2-\nu}} \right], \quad (4.1.4)$$

It's easy to check, that

$$\eta_{n,\nu} = \sup_{1/\lambda_n(n+1) \leq s \leq 1} \left[\frac{|\tilde{\beta}_n(1-\lambda_n s) + B_n(1-\lambda_n s)|}{((1-\lambda_n s)(\lambda_n s))^{1/2-\nu}} \right], \quad (4.1.5)$$

consequently, by lemma A we have

$$\eta_{n,\nu} = O_p(n^{-\nu}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.1.6)$$

Then, for n sufficiently large, using (1.11), (4.1.3), (1.6) and (1.7) (i) successively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} |L_{n,4}| \leq \\ & Zn^{1/2} \lambda_n \eta_{n,\nu} (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 ((1-\lambda_n s)(\lambda_n s))^{1/2-\nu} \\ & \quad \times |U'(\lambda_n s)| ds, \leq \\ & Z \lambda_n \eta_{n,\nu} \int_0^1 (\lambda_n s)^{1/2-\nu} (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} |U'(\lambda_n s)| ds \\ & = O_p(n^{-\nu}) \lambda_n^{-\nu} \int_0^1 s^{-1/2-\nu} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} [R(\lambda_n s)] ds \\ & = O_p((n\lambda_n))^{-\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, because $n\lambda_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, with $0 < \nu < 1/2$. \square

LEMMA 4.1.3. Let (K) , (F) and $(H1)$ be satisfied. Then $(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} L_{n,5} \rightarrow_p 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We have,

$$U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) = X_{n,n},$$

and

$$J_n(s) = J(1/n\lambda_n) \text{ for } 1/\lambda_n(n+1) \leq s \leq 1,$$

consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,5} &= n\lambda_n J(1/n\lambda_n) X_{n,n} (\lambda_n(n+1))^{-1} \\ & \quad - n\lambda_n J(1/n\lambda_n) \int_0^{1/\lambda_n(n+1)} U(\lambda_n s) ds. \\ &= J(1/n\lambda_n) \left\{ n(n+1)^{-1} X_{n,n} - n\lambda_n \int_0^{1/\lambda_n(n+1)} U(\lambda_n s) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.8) with (1.9), we get

$$L_{n,5} =: \bar{L}_{n,5} + \hat{L}_{n,5},$$

where

$$\bar{L}_{n,5} = nJ\left(\frac{1}{n\lambda_n}\right) (n+1)^{-1} \times O_p(1) (n+1)^{-1} U'\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$

and

$$\hat{L}_{n,5} = nJ\left(\frac{1}{n\lambda_n}\right) \left\{ (n+1)^{-1} U\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) - \int_0^{1/(n+1)} U(s) ds \right\}.$$

Using (1.6) § and (1.7) (iii), for a large n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \bar{L}_{n,5} &= O_p(1) (1+o(1)) J(1/n\lambda_n) \\ & \quad \times \left[(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} R(1/n) / R(\lambda_n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $n\lambda_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then using (1.7) (ii), we get

$$(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} R(1/n) R(\lambda_n) = o(1) \text{ as } n \uparrow \infty,$$

therefore

$$(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \bar{L}_{n,5} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \uparrow \infty,$$

An integration by part gives

$$\int_0^{1/(n+1)} U(s) ds = (n+1)^{-1} U(1/(n+1)) - \int_0^{1/(n+1)} s U'(s) ds.$$

Then, substituting (4.1.4) in to $\hat{L}_{n,5}$ and using (1.6) and (1.7) (iii), yields for a large n

$$\begin{aligned} & (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \hat{L}_{n,5} \\ &= -(1+o(1)) J(1/n\lambda_n) \times \left[(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} R(1/n) / R(\lambda_n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (1.7) (ii). \square

LEMMA 4.1.4. Let (K) , (F) and $(H1)$ be satisfied. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} L_{n,4} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 J(s) W(s) ds.$$

Proof. By a same argument as above we can write for a large n

$$\begin{aligned} & (n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} L_{n,4} \\ &= -\lambda_n^{-1/2} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n(1-\lambda_n s) [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} [R(\lambda_n s)] s^{-1} J(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.8)$$

$$= -(1+o(1)) \lambda_n^{-1/2} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n(1-\lambda_n s) s^{-1} J(s) ds \quad (4.1.9)$$

Since $-B_n(t) \stackrel{D}{=} N(0, t(1-t))$ for every $n \geq 1$, consequently we can put

$$-B_n(t) \stackrel{D}{=} B(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad \text{for } n=1,2,\dots, \quad (4.1.10)$$

when $B(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a Brownian bridge define on the same probability space. ($\stackrel{D}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution).

Then the right-hand-side of (4.1.5), without loss of generality, can be written as follows

$$(1+o(1))\lambda_n^{-1/2} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B(1-\lambda_n s) s^{-1} J(s) ds. \quad (4.1.11)$$

On the other hand, from the proprieties of the Brownian bridges and Wiener processes we have

$$(i) \quad B(t) \stackrel{D}{=} W(t) - tW(1), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (4.1.12)$$

$$(ii) \quad s^{-1/2} W(st) \stackrel{D}{=} W(t), \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq t < \infty, s > 0, \quad (4.1.13)$$

$$(iii) \quad B(t) \stackrel{D}{=} B(1-t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (4.1.14)$$

Then using (4.1.8) (4.1.10), expression (4.1.7) is equal in distribution to

$$(1+o(1)) \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 W(s) s^{-1} J(s) ds - (1+o(1))\lambda_n^{1/2} W(1) \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 s^{-1} J(s) ds.$$

Since $W(1) \stackrel{D}{=} N(0,1)$, then the second term of last expression converges in probability to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which achieves proof of this lemma. \square

Recalling that

$$\theta_n(\lambda_n s) = \gamma \lambda_n s + (1-\gamma)(1-V_n(1-\lambda_n s)),$$

for some appropriate $\gamma = \gamma_n(\lambda_n s) \in [0,1]$ depending upon $n \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, \lambda_n]$ or

$$\theta_n(s) = (1-\delta)s + \delta(1-V_n(1-s)),$$

or some appropriate $\delta = \delta_n(s) \in [0,1]$ depending upon $n \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, \lambda_n]$.

The following lemma gives some results with respect to asymptotic behavior of $\theta_n(s)$.

LEMMA 4.1.5. Let (F) be satisfied. For a large n , we have for all $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq \lambda_n$,

$$U' \left(\frac{\theta_n(s)}{U'(s)} \right) = \begin{cases} (s/\theta_n(s))^{1+\varepsilon}, & \text{if } s < \theta_n(s) < 1-V_n(1-s) \\ (s/\theta_n(s))^{1-\varepsilon}, & \text{if } 1-V_n(1-s) < \theta_n(s) < s \end{cases}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Proof. Let $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq \lambda_n$. We have $\theta_n(s) = (1-\delta)s + \delta(1-V_n(1-s))$, from of Glivenko-Cantelli' theorem, we have, almost surely, for a large n

$$\sup_{0 < s < 1} |1-s-V_n(1-s)| = o(1),$$

it follows that, almost surely, for a large n , $\theta_n(s) = s + o(1)$, and consequently, both of s and $\theta_n(s)$ are in right neighbourhood of zero.

Suppose that $s < \theta_n(s) < 1-V_n(1-s)$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. By (1.5), it's easy to verify that for a large n we have $R(\theta_n(s))/R(s) = (s/\theta_n(s))^{-\varepsilon}$, for $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq \lambda_n$.

Suppose now that $1-V_n(1-s) < \theta_n(s) < s$. By a same arguments as last, we prove that, for a large n , $R(\theta_n(s))/R(s) = (s/\theta_n(s))^\varepsilon$, for $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq \lambda_n$.

This achieves proof of this lemma. \square

LEMMA 4.1.6. Let (K) and (F) be satisfied. Then, we have almost surely

$$\sup_{1/\lambda_n(n+1) < s < 1} \left(\frac{1-U'(\theta_n(\lambda_n s))}{U'(\lambda_n s)} \right) = o(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. From (1.4) and (1.5) we can easel show, that for a large n

$$\left(\frac{1-U'(\theta_n s)}{U'(s)} \right) = (s/\theta_n(s))^{1\pm\varepsilon}.$$

In view of the Theorem of Hájek and Bickel (1972) (see e.g. Shorack and Wellner (1986), p. 640), we have almost surely for a large n $\sup_{0 < s < 1} |1-s/\theta_n(s)| = o(1)$. Since

$1 \pm \varepsilon > 0$, then with probability 1 a $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sup_{1/(n+1) < s < \lambda_n} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\theta_n(s)} \right)^{1\pm\varepsilon} = o(1),$$

which achieves proof of lemma 4.1.6. \square

LEMMA 4.1.7. Let (K) , (F) and $(H1)$ be satisfied. Then $(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} L_{n,1} \rightarrow_p 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. In view of lemma 4.1.6, for a large n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,1} &= o_p(1)n^{1/2}\lambda_n \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \beta_n(1-\lambda_n s)U'(\lambda_n s)J_n(s)ds, \\ &= o_p(1)[L_{n,2} + L_{n,3} + L_{n,4} + L_{n,5}] \end{aligned}$$

It's now clear, that the proof of this lemma achieves by applying successively lemmas 4.1.1-4.1.6. \square

4.2 Proof of theorem 2

First recall that $k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J_n(s)ds = J(1/k_n)$. Write

$$\tilde{D}_n(b) + \mu_{n,2}(J_n) - \zeta_n(J) =: A'_n + A''_n + A'''_n \quad (4.2.1)$$

where

$$A'_n = J(1/k_n)(X_{n,n} - v_{1,n})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} A''_n &= \sum_{i=2}^{k_n} J(i/k_n)X_{n-i+1,n}^2 - 2 \sum_{i=2}^{k_n} J(i/k_n)v_{i,n}X_{n-i+1,n} \\ &\quad + k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} U^2(k_n s/n)J_n(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$A'''_n = k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} U^2(k_n s/n)J_n(s)ds - J(1/k_n)v_{1,n}^2.$$

Recalling that $\mu_{n,2}(J)$, $v_{i,n}$ and $\zeta_n(J)$ are constants as in 1.14 and 1.15 respectively.

We shall show in lemma 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 that for a large n

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} A'_n = [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} A''_n = o_p(1).$$

LEMMA 4.2.1. Let (K) , (F) , $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} A'_n \rightarrow_p 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Recalling that $v_{1,n}(J) = n \int_0^{1/n} U(s)ds$. From 4.1.4, 1.8 and 1.9 and by a same argument as proof of lemma 4.1.3, we write, for a large n

$$\begin{aligned} A'_n &= J(1/\lambda_n) \left[O_p(1)n^{-1}U'(1/n) + n \int_0^{1/n} sU'(s)ds \right]^2 \\ &= J(1/\lambda_n)(R(1/n) + (1 + o(1))R(1/n))^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.2)$$

Under $(H2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J(s)ds &\leq k_n^{-2\nu} \int_0^{1/k_n} s^{-1+2\nu} J(s)ds \\ &= o(k_n^{-2\nu}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies from (1.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} J(1/n\lambda_n) &= O(k_n^{-\alpha}) + k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J(s)ds \\ &= O(k_n^{-\alpha}) + o(k_n^{-2\nu}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (R(k_n/n))^{-2} A'_n &= \\ (1 + o(1)) &\left[\left(\frac{R(1/n)}{R(k_n/n)} \right)^2 (O(1)(k_n^{-\alpha}) + o(1)(k_n^{-2\nu})) \right] \end{aligned}$$

hence for a large n , $(R(k_n/n))^{-2} A'_n = o(1)$, from (1.7) (ii). \square

For each $n \geq 1$, set

$$\Omega_n(s) =: \beta_n(1 - \lambda_n s) + B_n(1 - \lambda_n s), \quad 1/\lambda_n(n+1) < s < 1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A''_n &= n\lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s))^2 J_n(s)ds \\ &=: \varepsilon_n + T_n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n &= \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \beta_n^2(1 + \lambda_n s) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ 1 - (U'(\theta_n(\lambda_n s))/U'(\lambda_n s))^2 \right\} U^2(\lambda_n s) J_n(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$T_n = \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \beta_n^2(1 + \lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) J_n(s)ds.$$

Remark now that T_n can be written as follows

$$T_n =: T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3} + T_{n4}, \quad (4.2.4)$$

where

$$T_{n1} = \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \Omega_n^2(1 + \lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) J_n(s)ds.$$

$$T_{n2} = -2\lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 \Omega_n(s) B_n(1 + \lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) J_n(s)ds.$$

$$T_{n3} = \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n^2(1 + \lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) (J_n(s) - J(s))ds,$$

and

$$T_{n4} = \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n^2(1 + \lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) J(s)ds.$$

We have

$$T_{n4} \stackrel{D}{=} \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 B_n^2(\lambda_n s) U^2(\lambda_n s) J(s)ds.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^2(\lambda_n s) &= (W(\lambda_n s) - \lambda_n s W(1))^2 \\ &= W^2(\lambda_n s) - 2\lambda_n s W(1)W(\lambda_n s) + \lambda_n^2 s^2 W^2(1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently T_{n4} can be also written as follows

$$T_{n4} =: T'_{n4} + T''_{n4} + T'''_{n4},$$

where

$$T'_{n4} = \lambda_n \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 W^2(\lambda_n s) U^{12}(\lambda_n s) J(s) ds,$$

$$T''_{n4} = 2\lambda_n^2 W(1) \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 W(\lambda_n s) U^{12}(\lambda_n s) J(s) ds,$$

and

$$T'''_{n4} = \lambda_n^3 W^2(1) \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 s^2 U^{12}(\lambda_n s) J(s) ds.$$

The below two lemmas give us the asymptotic behaviors of terms T'_{n4} , T''_{n4} and T'''_{n4} .

LEMMA 4.2.2. Let (K) , (F) and (H1) (H2) be satisfied. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T'_{n4} = [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T''_{n4} = o_p(1).$$

Proof. First we have $W(1) = O_p(1)$, moreover we have $|W(\lambda_n s)| \leq (\lambda_n s)^{1/2}$, therefore, from (1.7) (ii),

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} E|T'_{n4}| = 2O_p(1)(1 + o(1))\lambda_n^{1/2} \int_0^1 s^{-3/2} J(s) ds,$$

which converges, in probability, to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_0^1 s^{-3/2} J(s) ds < \infty$. On the other hand we have from (1.6) and (1.7) (i)

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T''_{n4} = \lambda_n O_p(1)(1 + o(1)) \int_0^1 J(s) ds,$$

which converges, in probability, to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as well. \square

LEMMA 4.2.3. Let (K) , (F) and (H1)-(H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T'_{n4} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 J(s) W^2(s) ds, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. It suffices to apply (1.6), (1.7) (ii) and (4.1.6) together.

Recapitulate, the two last lemmas show that T_{n4} is the only term in series (4.2.4) which gives us the limit distribution as in theorem 2. Hence, in order to achieve the proof of theorem 2, it suffices to show that for a large n

$$\begin{aligned} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n1} &= [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n2} \\ &= [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n3} \\ &= [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} \varepsilon_n = o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

which will be the aim of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2.4. Let (K) , (F) , (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n1} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. First observe, by (1.10) we have

$$J_n(s) = O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) + J(s), \text{ for any } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (4.2.5)$$

then from (1.6) and (1.7) (ii) we can write

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} T_{n1} = (1 + o(1))(T'_{n1} + T''_{n1}),$$

where

$$T'_{n1} = O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) \times$$

$$\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\beta_n(1 - \lambda_n s) + B_n(1 - \lambda_n s))^2 s^{-2} ds.$$

and

$$T''_{n1} = \lambda_n^{-1} \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\beta_n(1 - \lambda_n s) + B_n(1 - \lambda_n s))^2 s^{-2} ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq T'_{n1} &= O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) \lambda_n^{-1} (\eta_{n,v})^2 \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\lambda_n s)^{1-2v} s^{-2} ds \\ &= O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) O_p(n^{-2v}) \lambda_n^{-2v} \int_{1/n\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 s^{-1-2v} ds \\ &= O((n\lambda_n)^{-2v}) (-2v)^{-1} (1 - n\lambda_n)^{2v} O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) \\ &= O_p((n\lambda_n)^{-2v-\alpha}) - O_p(1) O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.6)$$

Since $2v > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $n\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then (4.2.6) converges, in probability, to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By a same technique, we also show, under (H2), that

$$0 \leq T''_{n1} = O_p(n^{-v}) \lambda_n^{1-2v} \int_0^1 s^{-1-2v} J(s) ds,$$

which converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

LEMMA 4.2.5. Let (K) , (F) , (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n2} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We have

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n2} = -(1 + o(1)) \{T'_{n2} + T''_{n2}\},$$

where

$$T'_{n2} = O_p(n^{-\nu})O((n\lambda_n)^{-\alpha}) \times \\ \times 2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\lambda_n s)^\nu (1 - \lambda_n s)^\nu s^{-2} B_n(1 - \lambda_n s) ds,$$

and

$$T''_{n2} = O_p(n^{-\nu}) \times \\ \times 2\lambda_n^{-1} \int_{1/\lambda_n(n+1)}^1 (\lambda_n s)^\nu (1 - \lambda_n s)^\nu s^{-2} B_n(1 - \lambda_n s) J(s) ds.$$

Then using a same technics as proofs of lemmas 4.1.1. and 4.2.4, we show easily, under assumptions that for a large n , $T'_{n2} = T''_{n2} = o_p(1)$, consequently the details are omitted. \square

LEMMA 4.2.6. Let (K) , (F) , (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} T_{n3} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Obvious, from (1.10) and the fact that for any $n \geq 1$ and $s \in [0,1]$,

$$E|B_n(1 - \lambda_n s)| \leq (\lambda_n s)^{1/2} (1 - \lambda_n s)^{1/2} \leq \lambda_n^{1/2} s^{1/2}. \square$$

Finally we achieve proof of theorem 1 by the following lemma which shows the asymptotic behavior of term ε_n appearing in (4.2.3).

LEMMA 4.2.7. Let (K) , (F) , (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} \varepsilon_n = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. It's straightforward, by applying successively lemmas, (4.1.6), (4.2.2)-(4.2.7). \square
We finish proof of theorem 2 by the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2.8. Let (K) , (F) , (H1) and (H2) be satisfied. Then

$$[R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} A_n''' = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Recall that $v_{1,n} = n \int_0^{1/n} U(s) ds$. Since $J_n(s) = J(1/k_n)$, on $0 \leq s \leq 1/k_n$, then by a change of variables we obtain

$$A_n''' = J(1/k_n) \left[n \int_0^{1/n} U^2(s) ds - v_{1,n}^2 \right] =: J(1/k_n) S_n.$$

An integration by part gives

$$n \int_0^{1/n} U^2(s) ds = U^2(1/n) - 2n \int_0^{1/n} s U'(s) U(s) ds,$$

and

$$v_{1,n} = U(1/n) - n \int_0^{1/n} s U'(s) ds.$$

Therefore

$$S_n =: S_{n1} + S_{n2},$$

where

$$S_{n1} = - \left(n \int_0^{1/n} s U'(s) ds \right)^2, \text{ and}$$

$$S_{n2} = -2n \int_0^{1/n} s U'(s) (U(s) - U(1/n)) ds$$

It's clear that, From (1.6) and (1.7) (i), we have

$$S_{n1} = S_{n2} = (1 + o(1))(R(1/n))^2, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, remark that

$$J(1/k_n) = k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J_n(s) ds = O(k_n^{-\alpha}) + k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J_n(s) ds.$$

From (H3) we have

$$k_n \int_0^{1/k_n} J_n(s) ds \leq k_n^{-2\nu} \int_0^{1/k_n} s^{-1+2\nu} J_n(s) ds = o(k_n^{-2\nu}). \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Consequently}$$

$$(R(k_n/n))^{-2} S_{n1} = (R(k_n/n))^{-2} S_{n2} \\ = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{R(1/n)}{R(k_n/n)} \right)^2 \left\{ O(1)k_n^{-\alpha} + o(1)k_n^{-2\nu} \right\}$$

Finally, in applying (1.7) (ii) we achieve this lemma. \square

4.3 PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The general idea of proof of the present theorem, consists to represent the statistics $D_n(b)$ on function of $L_n(b)$ and

$\tilde{D}_n(b)$. Recall that $\int_0^1 J(s) ds = 1$. First, we can verify that

$$D_n(b) =: \pi_{n1} + \pi_{n2} + \pi_{n3} + \pi_{n4} \tag{4.3.1}$$

where

$$\pi_{n1} = \int_0^1 J(s) [U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)]^2 ds,$$

$$\pi_{n2} = 2 \int_0^1 J(s) U(\lambda_n s) [U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)]^2 ds,$$

$$\pi_{n3} = -L_n^2(b) \int_0^1 J(s) ds = -L_n^2(b),$$

$$\pi_{n4} = \int_0^1 J(s) U^2(\lambda_n s) ds.$$

Setting $K(s) = \int_0^s J(t) dt$. It's clear that $K(0) = 0$

and $K(1) = 1$. Moreover, we show easily,

from (H2), that $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-1} K(s) < \infty$. Recall that

$\bar{\mu}(J) = \int_0^1 J(s)U(\lambda_n s)ds$. An integration by part gives

$$\bar{\mu}(J) = U(\lambda_n) - \lambda_n \int_0^1 K(s)U'(\lambda_n s)ds.$$

(we have used the fact that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} tU(t) = 0$).

Substituting last result in to term

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{n2} &= -2U(\lambda_n)(L_n(b) - \bar{\mu}(J)) + 2\lambda_n \int_0^1 K(s)U'(\lambda_n s)ds \\ &\quad \times (L_n(b) - \bar{\mu}(J)) \\ &= -2U(\lambda_n) \int_0^1 J(s)[U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)]ds \\ &\quad + 2\lambda_n \int_0^1 K(s)U'(\lambda_n s)ds \times (L_n(b) - \bar{\mu}(J)) \\ D_n(b) &=: \Delta_{n1} + \Delta_{n2} + \Delta_{n3} + \Delta_{n4} + \Delta_{n5} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n1} &= \int_0^1 J(s)[U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)]^2 ds, \\ \Delta_{n2} &= 2 \int_0^1 J(s)[U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)] \\ &\quad \times (U(\lambda_n s) - U(\lambda_n))ds, \\ \Delta_{n3} &= -(L_n(b) - \bar{\mu}(J))^2, \\ \Delta_{n4} &= -2\lambda_n \int_0^1 K(s)U'(\lambda_n s)ds(L_n(b) - \bar{\mu}(J)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta_{n5} = \int_0^1 J(s)U^2(\lambda_n s)ds - (\bar{\mu}(J))^2.$$

We show in the sequel that for a large n

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} R(\lambda_n)^{-2} \Delta_{ni} = o_p(1), \quad i = 1, 3;$$

while

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} R(\lambda_n)^{-2} \Delta_{n2} \xrightarrow{D} 2 \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) \log s W(s) ds$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} R(\lambda_n)^{-2} \Delta_{n4} \xrightarrow{D} -2I(J) \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds, \text{ where}$$

$$I(J) = - \int_0^1 J(s) \log s ds.$$

LEMMA 4.3.1. Let (K) , (F) and $(H2)$ be satisfied. Then

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} R(\lambda_n)^{-2} \Delta_{n1} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Expanding statistic $\tilde{D}_n(b)$, we show that

$$\Delta_{n1} = (n\lambda_n)^{-1} (\tilde{D}_n(b) + \mu_{n,2}(J) - \zeta_n(J)).$$

Then from theorem we have for a large n
 $(R(\lambda_n))^{-2} (\tilde{D}_n(b) + \mu_{n,2}(J) - \zeta_n(J)) = O_p(1)$,

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &(n\lambda_n)^{-1/2} (R(\lambda_n))^{-2} (\tilde{D}_n(b) + \mu_{n,2}(J) - \zeta_n(J)) \\ &= O_p((n\lambda_n)^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This achieves proof of the present lemma. \square

LEMMA 4.3.2. Let (K) , (F) and $(H2)$ be satisfied. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} R(\lambda_n)^{-2} \Delta_{n2} \xrightarrow{D} -2 \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) \log s W(s) ds.$$

Proof. From the finite increments theorem, there exists a function

$$\frac{U(\lambda_n s) - U(\lambda_n)}{\log(\lambda_n s) - \log \lambda_n} = \frac{U'(\rho_n(s))}{(\log(\rho_n(s)))'}.$$

Moreover the right-hand-side of last expression is equal, by (1.16), to

$$-(1 + o(1))R(\rho_n(s)), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

consequently as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$U(\lambda_n s) - U(\lambda_n) = -R(\rho_n(s)) \log s \quad (4.3.3)$$

Further it is easy to verify that we have also, from (1.7) (ii),

$$R(\rho_n(s)) = (1 + o(1))R(\lambda_n) \quad (4.3.4)$$

Substituting (4.3.3) and (4.3.4) in to Δ_{n2} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n2} &= -2(1 + o(1))R(\lambda_n) \int_0^1 J(s) \log s \\ &\quad \times [U(1 - V_n(1 - \lambda_n s)) - U(\lambda_n s)] ds \\ &= 2(1 + o(1))R(\lambda_n) [L_n(c) - \bar{\mu}(\tilde{J})], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}(s) = J(s) \log s, \quad 0 < s < 1,$$

and

$$c_{i,n} = \int_{(i-1)/n\lambda_n}^{i/n\lambda_n} \tilde{J}(s) ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\lambda_n.$$

We can write then that for a large n

$$\begin{aligned} (n\lambda_n)^{1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} \Delta_{n2} &= 2(1 + o(1)) [R(\lambda_n)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (n\lambda_n)^{1/2} [L_n(c) - \bar{\mu}(\tilde{J})] \end{aligned}$$

It's clear now, from corollary 2.3, that the right-hand-side of last expression converges in distribution to

$$2 \int_0^1 s^{-1} \tilde{J}(s) W(s) ds, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which proves this lemma.

LEMMA 4.3.3. Let (K) , (F) and $(H2)$ be satisfied. Then

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} \Delta_{n3} = o_p(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. It's straightforward, by applying still corollary 2.3. \square

Recall that $K(0) = 0$ and $K(1) = 1$.

LEMMA 4.3.4. Let (K) , (F) and $(H2)$ be satisfied. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} \Delta_{n4} \xrightarrow{D} -2I(J) \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds,$$

$$I(J) = - \int_0^1 J(s) \log s ds.$$

Proof. It suffices to apply (1.6), (1.7) and corollary 2.3 and using the fact that

$$\int_0^1 s^{-1} K(s) ds = - \int_0^1 J(s) \log s ds.$$

This last yields by an integration by part. \square

Finally, from lemmas 4.3.2 and 4.3.4, we write then that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(n\lambda_n)^{1/2} [R(\lambda_n)]^{-2} (D_n(b) - \Delta_{n5}) \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 s^{-1} \psi(s) W(s) ds,$$

$\psi(\cdot)$ is as in theorem 3, which achieves proof of lemma 4.3.3 and consequently the proof of theorem 3. \square

5. PROOFS OF COROLLARIES

5.1 PROOFS OF COROLLARIES 2.1 AND 2.2.

The proofs of corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 are immediate from the definition of the Wiener process. In fact we have

$$Cov(W(s), W(t)) = \min(s, t), \text{ for } 0 < s < 1, \text{ } 0 < t < 1.$$

Let $\Xi = \int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\Xi) &= E(\Xi)^2 = E\left(\int_0^1 s^{-1} J(s) W(s) ds\right)^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^{-1} t^{-1} J(s) J(t) Cov(W(s), W(t)) ds dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 s^{-1} t^{-1} J(s) J(t) \min(s, t) ds dt \\ &=: \sigma^2(J). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(W(s), 0 < s < 1)$ is $N(0,1)$, then Ξ is also $N(0, \sigma^2(J))$ which achieves proof of corollary 2.1, and consequently by a same arguments the proof of corollary 2.2. \square

$(Cov(Y_1, Y_2))$ (resp. $Var(Y_1)$) denote the covariance of the couple of random variables (Y_1, Y_2) (resp. the variance of the random variable (Y_1)).

5.2 PROOFS OF COROLLARIES 2.3 AND 2.4

They are straightforward, it suffices to take in theorem 1 and 2 the weighting function $k_n \int_{(i-1)/k_n}^{i/k_n} J(s) ds$ instead of $J(i/k_n)$, and follow the same representation technics as in theorem 3. This completes the proofs of corollaries 2.3 and 2.4. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am indebted to Professor P. Deheuvels for his collaboration for which the paper has benefited a lot.

REFERENCES

- [1] Barnett, V. and B. Lewis (1994). *Outliers in Statistical Data*. 3rd Ed. John Wiley & Sons.
- [2] Beirlant, J. and J. L. Teugels (1987). Asymptotics of Hill's estimator. *Th. Probability Appl.*, **31**, 463-469.
- [3] Boos, D. (1979). A Differential for L-statistics. *Ann. Statist.*, **7**, 955-959.
- [4] Chernoff, H., J. Gastwirth and M. Johns (1967). Asymptotic distributions of linear combinations of functions of order statistics with applications to estimation. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **38**, 52-72.
- [5] Csörgő, M. and P. Révész (1981). *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*. Academic, New York.
- [6] Csörgő M., S. Csörgő, L. Horváth and D. M. Mason (1986). Weighted empirical and quantile processes. *Ann. Probab.*, **14**, 31-85.
- [7] Csörgő, S., P. Deheuvels and D. M. Mason (1985). Kernel estimates of the tail index of distribution. *Ann. Statist.*, **14**, 31-85.
- [8] Csörgő S. and D. M. Mason (1989). *Simple estimators of the endpoint of a distribution*. In "Extreme Value Theory" (ed. J. Husler and R. D. Reiss), Lecture Notes in Statistics, Spinger, New York, 132-147.
- [9] De Hann, L. (1970). *On regular Variation and its Application to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes*. Amsterdam: Math. Centre Tracts **32**.

- [10] Dekkers, A. L. M., Einmahl, J. H. J. and de Hann, L. (1989). A moment estimator for the index of an extreme-value distribution. *Ann. Statist.*, **17**, 1833-1855.
- [11] Deheuvels, P., E. Haeusler and D. M. Mason (1990). On almost sure behavior of sums of extreme values from a distribution in the domain of attraction of Gumbel law. *Bull. Sci. Math. Série No. 2*, **114**, 61-95.
- [12] Dixon W. J. and J.W. Tukey (1968). Approximate behavior of the distribution of Winsorized t (Trimming/Winsorisation 2). *Technometrics*, **10**, 83-98.
- [13] Einmahl, J. H. J. and D. M. Mason (1988). Strong limit theorems for weighted quantile process. *Ann. Probab.*, **16**, 126-141.
- [14] Falk, M. (1985). Asymptotic normality of kernel quantile estimator. *Ann. Statist.*, **13**, 428-433.
- [15] Falk, M. (1995). Some best parameter estimates for distributions with finite endpoint. *Statistics*, **27**, 115-125.
- [16] Fung, K. Y. and S. R. Paul (1985). Comparisons of outlier detection procedures in Weibull or extreme value distribution. *Commun. Statist. Assn.*, **75**, 395-398.
- [17] Galambos, J. (1987). *The asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics.*, 2nd edition, Malabar: Kreiger.
- [18] Gnedenko, B. (1943). Sur la distribution limitée du terme maximum d'une série aléatoire. *Ann. Math.* **44**, 423-453.
- [19] Hawkins, D. M. (1979). Fractiles of an extended multiple outlier test. *J. Statist. Comp. Sim.*, **8**, 227-236.
- [20] Helmers, R. and F. Ruymgaart (1988). Asymptotic normality of generalized L-statistics with unbounded scores. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **19**, 43-53.
- [21] Lo, G. S. (1989). A Note on the asymptotic normality of sums of extreme value. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **22**, 27-136, North-Holland.
- [22] Mason, D. M. (1981). Asymptotic normality of linear combinations of order statistics with a smooth score function. *Ann. Statist.*, **9**, 899-904.
- [23] Mason, D. M. and G. Shorack (1990). Necessary and sufficient conditions for asymptotic normality of trimmed L-statistics. *J. Statist. Plann Inference*, **25**, 111-139.
- [24] Necir, A. (1990). Asymptotic normality and law of the iterated logarithm for linear combinations of extreme values from a distribution with regularly varying upper tail. *Technical report*, **123**, L.S.T.A., Paris VI.
- [25] Necir, A. (1991a). Sur le comportement asymptotique des combinaisons linéaires de statistiques d'ordre extrêmes issue d'une distribution appartenant au domaine d'attraction de la loi de Gumbel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 312, Série I, 159-163.
- [26] Necir, A. (1991b). Lois du logarithme itéré pour des combinaisons linéaires de statistiques d'ordre extrêmes des distributions appartenant au domaine d'attraction de la loi de Gumbel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 312, Série I, 245-250.
- [27] Necir, A. (2000a). A Note on the functional law of the iterated logarithm for non-linear combinations of extreme order statistics. *J. Nonpar. Statist. (to be published)*.
- [28] Necir, A. (2000b). Asymptotic normality of weighted L-statistics based upon extreme values. CIMASI'2000, Casablanca, Morocco.
- [29] Ruymgaart, F. and M. Van Zuijlen (1977). Asymptotic normality of linear combinations of order statistics in the non-i.i.d. case. *Nedrl.Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **80**, 5, 432-447.
- [30] Seneta, E. (1975). *Regularly varying functions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [31] Serfling, R. J. (1980). *Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics*. Wiley, New York.}
- [32] Shorack, G. and Wellner (1986). *Empirical Process with Applications to Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [33] Singh, K. (1981). On asymptotic representation and approximation to normality of L-statistics. *I. Sankhya Ser. A*, **43**, 67-83.
- [34] Stigler, S. (1969). Linear functions of order statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **40**, 770-788.
- [35] Stigler, S. (1974). Linear functions of order statistics with smooth weight functions. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **2**, 676-693.
- [36] Tietjen, G. L. and R. H. Moore (1979). Some Grubbs-type statistics for the detection of several outliers. *Technometrics*, **14**, 583-597.
- [37] Teugels, J. L. (1984). Extreme values in insurance mathematics, in *Statistical Extremes and Applications*. (J. Tiago de Olivera, ed.) NATO ASI Series. Reidel, Dordrecht, 253-259.
- [38] Tobbal, K. (1995). A functional law of the iterated logarithm for the Dekkers-Einmahl-De Hann tail index estimator. *J. Nonpar. Statist*, **5**, 145-156.